

# Hamiltonian Dynamics of Dissipative Systems

Grgur Šimunić

Ruđer Bošković Institute

November 10, 2020

Hamiltonian formulation of classical mechanics is very useful since it gives a nice geometric description of time evolution and provides a natural way to extend a classical theory to quantum theory.

Originally, the Hamiltonian formulation was given only for isolated systems, and later extended to systems with time-dependent potentials, but no dissipative forces.

There have been many attempts to introduce dissipation into Hamiltonian formulation. Here we present one that arises quite naturally as a geometric generalization of the known cases.

# Non-dissipative time-independent systems

The dynamics of isolated (non-dissipative and time-independent) systems can be given in terms of a Hamiltonian function defined on a phase space  $M$ . The phase space is  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold with a symplectic form  $\omega$  (non-closed and non-degenerate ( $\omega^n \neq 0$ )).

Darboux theorem:

For every point of a  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold there exists a neighbourhood on which it is possible to choose a coordinate system  $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  in which the symplectic form can be expressed as:

$$\omega = dp_i \wedge dq^i .$$

Since  $\omega$  is closed, we can locally define a canonical 1-form  $\alpha$  such that  $\omega = d\alpha$ . In Darboux coordinates it has the form:

$$\alpha = p_i dq^i .$$

For a Hamiltonian function  $H \in C^\infty(M)$  we can define a Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H \in \Gamma(TM)$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{X_H}\omega &= -dH, \\ X_H &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The trajectories of the system are the integral curves of this vector field which in Darboux coordinates gives the Hamilton equations of motion:

$$\dot{q}^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}.$$

Every symplectic manifold is also a Poisson manifold since the Poisson bivector  $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma(TM \wedge TM)$  can be defined as:

$$\iota_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}\omega = \xi, \forall \xi \in \Gamma(T^*M),$$
$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

This defines a Poisson bracket of two functions  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ :

$$\{f, g\} = \mathcal{P}(f, g).$$

The Jacobi identity of the Poisson bracket translates to the following property of the Poisson bivector:

$$[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}]_S = \mathcal{P}^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu \wedge \partial_\sigma = 0.$$

## Canonical transformations:

Diffeomorphism transformations that leave symplectic form invariant.

Locally, they look like a change in Darboux coordinates. Since the symplectic is invariant, a canonical 1-form is shifted by a closed 1-form:

$$p_i dq^i = P_i dQ^i + dF_1(q, Q),$$
$$p_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^i}, \quad P_i = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^i}.$$

# Non-dissipative time-dependent systems

We extend the phase to include time explicitly so that the manifold of interest is  $M_E = M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

The canonical 1-form is extended into the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= p_i dq^i - H(q, p, t) dt, \\ d\eta &= \omega - dH \wedge dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hamiltonian vector fields:

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_{X_H^E} d\eta &= 0, \\ X_H^E &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.\end{aligned}$$

Canonical transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}p_i dq^i - H dt &= P_i dQ^i - K dt + dF_1(q, Q, t), \\ p_i &= \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^i}, \quad P_i = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^i}, \quad K = H + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t}.\end{aligned}$$

What is the geometrical structure of  $M_E$ ?

In symplectic case,  $\omega$  was closed and degenerate. Closure of  $\omega$  directly translates to the closure of  $d\eta$ , which is trivial.

Non-degeneracy of  $\omega$  ( $\omega^n \neq 0$ ) can also be generalized to:

$$\eta \wedge d\eta^n = -H\omega^n \wedge dt.$$

The existence of such 1-form makes  $M_E$  the so called contact manifold.



## Example: 1D dissipative system

Consider a particle in 1 dimension in a potential  $V$  and a friction force proportional to the velocity of a particle. The equation of motion has the form:

$$m\ddot{q} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q} - m\gamma\dot{q}.$$

We can rewrite this second-order equation as a system of two first-order equations in order to get the same form as the Hamilton's equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q} &= \frac{p}{m}, \\ \dot{p} &= -\gamma p - \frac{\partial V}{\partial q}.\end{aligned}$$

Caldirola-Kanai coordinates:

$$q_{CK} = q, \quad p_{CK} = e^{\gamma t} p.$$

Equations of motion:

$$\dot{q}_{CK} = \frac{p_{CK}}{m} e^{-\gamma t},$$
$$\dot{p}_{CK} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_{CK}} e^{\gamma t}.$$

In these new coordinates there exists a Hamiltonian function that generates these equations of motion:

$$H(q_{CK}, p_{CK}, t) = \frac{1}{2m} p_{CK}^2 e^{-\gamma t} + V(q_{CK}) e^{\gamma t}.$$

We introduced the change in coordinates that transformed a dissipative time-independent system into a non-dissipative time-dependent system, but this transformation was not canonical.

# Dissipative time-independent systems

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional contact manifold with a contact form  $\eta$ .

The generalization of the Darboux theorem for contact manifolds states that it is locally possible to choose coordinates  $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n, S)$  such that the contact form takes the form:

$$\eta = dS - p_i dq^i.$$

The contact form defines an isomorphism  $g : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M)$ :

$$g(v) = \iota_v d\eta + (\iota_v \eta)\eta,$$

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\eta \vee \eta - \omega.$$

This isomorphism defines the so called Reeb vector field  $V \in \Gamma(TM)$ :

$$V = g^{-1}(\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial S}.$$

This is equivalent to the statement that:

$$\iota_V \eta = 1, \quad \iota_V d\eta = 0.$$

## Contact transformations:

The change in coordinates  $(q, p, S) \rightarrow (Q, P, S')$  that leave contact form invariant, up to a multiplication function:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &\rightarrow f\eta, \\ dS' - P_i dQ^i &= f(dS - p_i dq^i).\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $S'(q, Q, S)$  can be used as a generating function:

$$f = \frac{\partial S'}{\partial S}, \quad fp_i = -\frac{\partial S'}{\partial q^i}, \quad P_i = \frac{\partial S'}{\partial Q^i}.$$

The special case when  $f = 1$ :

$$S'(q, Q, S) = S - F_1(q, Q)$$

corresponds to a canonical transformation.

Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{\mathcal{H}} \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{T})$  for a contact Hamiltonian function  $\mathcal{H} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{T})$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathcal{H}}}\eta = f\eta, \quad \mathcal{H} = -\iota_{X_{\mathcal{H}}}\eta.$$

Equivalently, these equations can be rewritten as:

$$X_{\mathcal{H}} = g^{-1}(d\mathcal{H} + (f - \mathcal{H})\eta).$$

The function  $f$  that appears here is not arbitrary, but it turns out to be:

$$f = -\iota_V d\mathcal{H} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial S}.$$

The Hamiltonian vector field in Darboux coordinates takes the form:

$$X_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^i} + p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial S} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \left( p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \mathcal{H} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial S}$$

The trajectories of the system are just integral curves of the Hamiltonian vector field which gives us the equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{q}^i &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}, \\ \dot{p}_i &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^i} - p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial S}, \\ \dot{S} &= p_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \mathcal{H}.\end{aligned}$$

The time derivative of a general function along a trajectory is then equal to:

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^i} - p_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial S} + p_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \mathcal{H} \frac{\partial F}{\partial S}$$

Instead of the Poisson structure, contact manifolds admit a Jacobi structure. A Jacobi manifold is a manifold equipped with a Jacobi bivector  $\pi \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{T} \wedge T\mathcal{T})$  and a vector field  $V \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{T})$  such that:

$$[\pi, \pi]_S = \pi^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \pi^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu \wedge \partial_\sigma = 2V \wedge \pi,$$

$$[\pi, V]_S = \left( \frac{1}{2} V^\sigma \partial_\sigma \pi^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\sigma\mu} \partial_\sigma V^\nu \right) \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu = 0.$$

The vector  $V$  here is just the Reeb vector field, while the Jacobi bivector can be defined through:

$$\iota_{\pi(\xi)} \eta = 0, \forall \xi \in \Gamma(T^*\mathcal{T}),$$

$$\iota_{\pi(\xi)} d\eta = -\xi + (\iota_V \xi) \eta, \forall \xi \in \Gamma(T^*\mathcal{T}).$$

In Darboux coordinates:

$$\pi = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \right) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

The Hamiltonian vector field can be written in terms of Jacobi bivector and Reeb vector field:

$$X_{\mathcal{H}} = -\pi(\mathcal{H}, \cdot) - \mathcal{H}V,$$

while the time evolution of arbitrary function along a trajectory is determined by a Jacobi bracket:

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \{F, \mathcal{H}\}_{\mathcal{J}} - \mathcal{H}V(F),$$

where the Jacobi bracket of two functions is defined as:

$$\{f, g\}_{\mathcal{J}} = \pi(f, g).$$

Note that the Jacobi bracket does not satisfy the Jacobi identity. Instead we have:

$$\{\{f_1, f_2\}_{\mathcal{J}}, f_3\}_{\mathcal{J}} + \text{c.p.} = -\frac{1}{2} (\{f_1, f_2\}_{\mathcal{J}} \iota_V df_3 + \text{c.p.})$$



# Examples

Separable contact Hamiltonians:

$$\mathcal{H}(q, p, S) = H(q, p) + h(S).$$

This kind of Hamiltonians give friction forces proportional to velocities.

The function  $h$  controls the time evolution of mechanical energy:

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = -p_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial h}{\partial S}$$

1-dimensional particle with a friction force proportional to the square of the velocity:

$$\mathcal{H}(q, p, S) = \frac{1}{2m} (p + 2\gamma S)^2 + e^{-2\gamma q} \int^q e^{2\gamma q'} \frac{\partial V(q')}{\partial q'} dq'$$

# Dissipative time-dependent systems

We extend the contact phase space  $\mathcal{T}$  into  $\mathcal{T}_E = \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{R}$  to include time dependence. We also extend the contact 1-form into:

$$\eta_E = dS - p_i dq^i + \mathcal{H}(q, p, S, t) dt$$

Hamiltonian vector field:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathcal{H}}^E} \eta_E &= f \eta_E, & \iota_{X_{\mathcal{H}}^E} \eta_E &= 0, \\ X_{\mathcal{H}}^E &= X_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

Equations of motion have the same form as before, just with addition of  $\dot{t} = 1$  which tells us that  $t$  can be used as a parameter on the trajectory.

Time-dependent contact transformations:

$$f(dS - p_i dq^i + \mathcal{H} dt) = dS' - P_i dQ^i + \mathcal{K} dt$$

$$f = \frac{\partial S'}{\partial S}, \quad fp_i = -\frac{\partial S'}{\partial q^i}, \quad P_i = \frac{\partial S'}{\partial Q^i}, \quad \mathcal{K} = f\mathcal{H} - \frac{\partial S'}{\partial t}$$

Caldirola-Kanai transformation is an example of the time-dependent contact transformation:

$$\mathcal{H}(q, p, S, t) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q) + \gamma S,$$

$$(q, p, S, t) \rightarrow (q_{CK} = q, p_{CK} = e^{\gamma t} p, S' = e^{\gamma t} S, t),$$

$$\mathcal{K} = e^{\gamma t}(\mathcal{H} - \gamma S) = \frac{p_{CK}^2}{2m} e^{-\gamma t} + V(q_{CK}) e^{\gamma t}.$$

The geometric structure of the extended contact phase space:

$$(d\eta)^{n+1} \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{symplectic manifold}$$

# Conclusions and Outlook

- Any classical system can be described in terms of the Hamiltonian formulation.
- There is a geometric description for the dynamics of any classical system.
- While this formulation gives a geometric description for any classical system, it is still unclear what role does it play in the quantization of dissipative systems.