

# Thermodynamic relations for black holes with NLE fields

---

Ivica Smolić

University of Zagreb

- I. Barjašić, L. Gulin and I.S.: **Nonlinear electromagnetic fields and symmetries**  
PRD **95** (2017) 124037 [[1705.00628](#)]

- I. Barjašić, L. Gulin and I.S.: **Nonlinear electromagnetic fields and symmetries**  
PRD **95** (2017) 124037 [**1705.00628**]
- L. Gulin and I.S.: **Generalizations of the Smarr formula for black holes with nonlinear electromagnetic fields**  
CQG **35** (2018) 025015 [**1710.04660**]

- I. Barjašić, L. Gulin and I.S.: **Nonlinear electromagnetic fields and symmetries**  
PRD **95** (2017) 124037 [1705.00628]
- L. Gulin and I.S.: **Generalizations of the Smarr formula for black holes with nonlinear electromagnetic fields**  
CQG **35** (2018) 025015 [1710.04660]
- I.S.: **Spacetimes dressed with stealth electromagnetic fields**  
PRD **97** (2018) 084041 [1711.07490]

Central question:

Central question:

HOW DOES **NONLINEAR ELECTRODYNAMICS**  
MODIFY BLACK HOLE THERMODYNAMICS?

# Black hole thermodynamics

## THERMODYNAMICS

0.  $T = \text{const.}$

1.  $dE = TdS + \dots$

2.  $\delta S \geq 0$

3.  $T \rightarrow 0$

Euler  $E = TS + \dots$

## BLACK HOLES

$\kappa = \text{const.}$

$dM = \frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa dA + \dots$

$\delta A \geq 0$

$\kappa \rightarrow 0$

$M = \frac{1}{4\pi} \kappa A + \dots$

# Going beyond Einstein and Maxwell



## Going beyond Einstein and Maxwell

- identify intensive-extensive pairs:  
 $(\kappa, \mathcal{A}), (\Omega, J), (\Phi, Q), (\Psi, P), (\Lambda, V), \dots$

## Going beyond Einstein and Maxwell

- identify intensive-extensive pairs:  
 $(\kappa, \mathcal{A}), (\Omega, J), (\Phi, Q), (\Psi, P), (\Lambda, V), \dots$
- develop algorithms for the extensions of thermodynamic relations

## NLE redux

---

# Basic assumptions

- (mostly) natural system of units:  $c = G = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 4\pi\mu_0 = 1$

# Basic assumptions

- (mostly) natural system of units:  $c = G = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 4\pi\mu_0 = 1$
- (mostly) 4-dimensional spacetimes

# Basic assumptions

- (mostly) natural system of units:  $c = G = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 4\pi\mu_0 = 1$
- (mostly) 4-dimensional spacetimes
- two electromagnetic invariants:

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv F_{ab}F^{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} \equiv F_{ab} * F^{ab}$$

# Basic assumptions

- (mostly) natural system of units:  $c = G = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 4\pi\mu_0 = 1$
- (mostly) 4-dimensional spacetimes
- two electromagnetic invariants:

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv F_{ab}F^{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} \equiv F_{ab} * F^{ab}$$

$$F \wedge *F = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} *1 \qquad F \wedge F = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} *1$$

# Maxwell's electrodynamics

- Maxwell's Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{Max})} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}$$



# Maxwell's electrodynamics

- Maxwell's Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{Max})} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}$$

- source-free Maxwell's equations

$$dF = 0, \quad d * F = 0$$

# Maxwell's electrodynamics

- Maxwell's Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{Max})} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}$$

- source-free Maxwell's equations

$$dF = 0, \quad d * F = 0$$

- energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{ab}^{(\text{Max})} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( F_{ac} F_b{}^c - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} \mathcal{F} \right)$$

## Inception of NLE in 1930s



Max Born, Leopold Infeld, Werner K. Heisenberg, Hans H. Euler

## Inception of NLE in 1930s



Max Born, Leopold Infeld, Werner K. Heisenberg, Hans H. Euler

- Max Born (1933), introducing an upper limit  $b$  for the field strength

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{Born})} = b^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2b^2}} \right)$$

# Inception of NLE in 1930s

- Born-Infeld (1934)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{BI})} = b^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2b^2} - \frac{\mathcal{G}^2}{16b^4}} \right)$$

# Inception of NLE in 1930s

- Born-Infeld (1934)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{BI})} = b^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2b^2} - \frac{\mathcal{G}^2}{16b^4}} \right)$$

- alternative route (“reverse engineering”)

$$E = \frac{Q}{r^2} dr \quad \rightarrow \quad E = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{r^4 + (Q/b)^2}} dr \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} = \dots$$

# Inception of NLE in 1930s

- Born-Infeld (1934)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{BI})} = b^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2b^2} - \frac{\mathcal{G}^2}{16b^4}} \right)$$

- alternative route (“reverse engineering”)

$$E = \frac{Q}{r^2} dr \quad \rightarrow \quad E = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{r^4 + (Q/b)^2}} dr \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} = \dots$$

- Euler-Heisenberg (1935): one-loop QED corrections to Maxwell

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\text{EH})} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F} + \frac{\alpha^2}{360m_e^4} (4\mathcal{F}^2 + 7\mathcal{G}^2) + O(\alpha^3)$$

# Proliferation of NLE models



# Proliferation of NLE models

- Bardeen's model (1968)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3M}{g^3} \left( \frac{g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}}{2 + g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}} \right)^{5/2}$$

# Proliferation of NLE models

- Bardeen's model (1968)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3M}{g^3} \left( \frac{g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}}{2 + g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}} \right)^{5/2}$$

“Bardeen's black hole”:  $F = g \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi$

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}}$$

# Proliferation of NLE models

- Bardeen's model (1968)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3M}{g^3} \left( \frac{g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}}{2 + g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}} \right)^{5/2}$$

“Bardeen's black hole”:  $F = g \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi$

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}}$$

- [Fradkin, Tseytlin 1985] [Seiberg, Witten 1999] strings  $\rightarrow$  BI

# Proliferation of NLE models

- Bardeen's model (1968)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3M}{g^3} \left( \frac{g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}}{2 + g\sqrt{2\mathcal{F}}} \right)^{5/2}$$

“Bardeen's black hole”:  $F = g \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi$

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}}$$

- [Fradkin, Tseytlin 1985] [Seiberg, Witten 1999] strings  $\rightarrow$  BI
- NLE zoo:
  - [Soleng: PRD **52** (1995)]  $\mathcal{L} \sim \ln(1 + \lambda\mathcal{F})$
  - [Hassaine, Martínez: PRD **75** (2007)]  $\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{F}^s$
  - [Hendi: JHEP **03** (2012)]  $\mathcal{L} \sim \exp(-\mathcal{F}/\beta^2) - 1$

## Experimental constraints on NLE

$$E_{\text{lightning}} \sim 10^6 \text{ V/m}, \quad E_{\text{cr}}^{(\text{EH})} = \frac{m_e^2 c^3}{e \hbar} \sim 10^{18} \text{ V/m}$$

## Experimental constraints on NLE

$$E_{\text{lightning}} \sim 10^6 \text{ V/m}, \quad E_{\text{cr}}^{(\text{EH})} = \frac{m_e^2 c^3}{e \hbar} \sim 10^{18} \text{ V/m}$$

- The Extreme Light Infrastructure project ( $E > 10^{15} \text{ V/m}$ )

# Experimental constraints on NLE

$$E_{\text{lightning}} \sim 10^6 \text{ V/m}, \quad E_{\text{cr}}^{(\text{EH})} = \frac{m_e^2 c^3}{e \hbar} \sim 10^{18} \text{ V/m}$$

- The Extreme Light Infrastructure project ( $E > 10^{15} \text{ V/m}$ )
- Born-Infeld:
  - $b > 10^{27} \text{ V/m}$  [PRL **118** (2017)] via ATLAS
  - $b > 10^{21} \text{ V/m}$  [EPJC **78** (2018)] via hydrogen's ionization en.

## Experimental constraints on NLE

$$E_{\text{lightning}} \sim 10^6 \text{ V/m}, \quad E_{\text{cr}}^{(\text{EH})} = \frac{m_e^2 c^3}{e \hbar} \sim 10^{18} \text{ V/m}$$

- The Extreme Light Infrastructure project ( $E > 10^{15} \text{ V/m}$ )
- Born-Infeld:
  - $b > 10^{27} \text{ V/m}$  [PRL **118** (2017)] via ATLAS
  - $b > 10^{21} \text{ V/m}$  [EPJC **78** (2018)] via hydrogen's ionization en.
  - remaining window  $10^{19} \text{ V/m} \lesssim b \lesssim 10^{27} \text{ V/m}$  [EPJC **78** (2018)]



## Experimental constraints on NLE

- power-Maxwell:  $|s - 1| < 10^{-15}$  [PRL **26** (1971)]

# Experimental constraints on NLE

- power-Maxwell:  $|s - 1| < 10^{-15}$  [PRL **26** (1971)]

[Rev.Mod.Phys. **82** (2010)]

“... 35-year-old result of Williams, Faller, and Hill remains the landmark test of Coulomb’s Law ... Their limit is unsurpassed in the substantiated (laboratory) literature.”

# Experimental constraints on NLE

- power-Maxwell:  $|s - 1| < 10^{-15}$  [PRL **26** (1971)]

[Rev.Mod.Phys. **82** (2010)]

“... 35-year-old result of Williams, Faller, and Hill remains the landmark test of Coulomb’s Law ... Their limit is unsurpassed in the substantiated (laboratory) literature.”

- Fouché, Battesti and Rizzo: *Limits on nonlinear electrodynamics* [PRD **93** (2016)]

- general NLE Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$

## Basic elements of NLE

- general NLE Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$
- generalized Maxwell's equations

$$dF = 0, \quad d*Z = 0$$

$$Z_{ab} \equiv -4(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} F_{ab} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} *F_{ab})$$

## Basic elements of NLE

- general NLE Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$
- generalized Maxwell's equations

$$dF = 0, \quad d*Z = 0$$

$$Z_{ab} \equiv -4(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} F_{ab} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} *F_{ab})$$

- energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{ab} = -4\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} T_{ab}^{(\text{Max})} + \frac{1}{4} T g_{ab}$$

## Zeroth law of BH thermodynamics

---

## Zeroth law(s)



## Zeroth law(s)

- intensive variables:  $\kappa, \Phi, \Psi, \dots$

## Zeroth law(s)

- intensive variables:  $\kappa, \Phi, \Psi, \dots$
- surface gravity of a Killing horizon  $H[K]$

$$K^b \nabla_b K^a \stackrel{H}{=} \kappa K^a$$

## Zeroth law(s)

- intensive variables:  $\kappa, \Phi, \Psi, \dots$
- surface gravity of a Killing horizon  $H[K]$

$$K^b \nabla_b K^a \stackrel{H}{=} \kappa K^a$$

- ZEROth LAW:  $\kappa \stackrel{H}{=} \text{const.}$

## Zeroth law(s)

- intensive variables:  $\kappa, \Phi, \Psi, \dots$
- surface gravity of a Killing horizon  $H[K]$

$$K^b \nabla_b K^a \stackrel{H}{=} \kappa K^a$$

- ZEROth LAW:  $\kappa \stackrel{H}{=} \text{const.}$

proofs:

via Frobenius theorem (Carter 1972)

via DEC and Einstein EOM (Bardeen et al. 1973)

via bifurcation surface (Kay & Wald 1991)

# Electromagnetic scalar potentials

## Electromagnetic scalar potentials

- Electric and magnetic 1-forms with respect to  $K^a$

$$E \equiv -i_K F \qquad H \equiv i_K * Z$$

## Electromagnetic scalar potentials

- Electric and magnetic 1-forms with respect to  $K^a$

$$E \equiv -i_K F \qquad H \equiv i_K *Z$$

$$dE = -di_K F = -\mathcal{L}_K F + i_K dF$$

$$dH = di_K *Z = \mathcal{L}_K *Z - i_K d*Z$$

## Electromagnetic scalar potentials

- Electric and magnetic 1-forms with respect to  $K^a$

$$E \equiv -i_K F \qquad H \equiv i_K *Z$$

$$dE = -di_K F = -\mathcal{L}_K F + i_K dF$$

$$dH = di_K *Z = \mathcal{L}_K *Z - i_K d*Z$$

- **local** condition,  $\mathcal{L}_K F = 0$ , implies  $dE = 0 = dH$



## Electromagnetic scalar potentials

- Electric and magnetic 1-forms with respect to  $K^a$

$$E \equiv -i_K F \qquad H \equiv i_K *Z$$

$$dE = -di_K F = -\mathcal{L}_K F + i_K dF$$

$$dH = di_K *Z = \mathcal{L}_K *Z - i_K d*Z$$

- **local** condition,  $\mathcal{L}_K F = 0$ , implies  $dE = 0 = dH$
- **global** condition,  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\langle\langle M \rangle\rangle) = 0$ , guarantees

$$E = -d\Phi \qquad H = -d\Psi$$

# Symmetry inheritance

- Basic setting:

## Symmetry inheritance

- Basic setting:  
smooth spacetime  $(M, g_{ab}, F_{ab})$

## Symmetry inheritance

- Basic setting:

smooth spacetime  $(M, g_{ab}, F_{ab})$

...admitting a smooth Killing vector field  $K^a$

## Symmetry inheritance

- Basic setting:

smooth spacetime  $(M, g_{ab}, F_{ab})$

...admitting a smooth Killing vector field  $K^a$

$$\mathcal{L}_K g_{ab} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = ?$$

## Symmetry inheritance

- Basic setting:

smooth spacetime  $(M, g_{ab}, F_{ab})$

...admitting a smooth Killing vector field  $K^a$

$$\mathcal{L}_K g_{ab} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = ?$$

$$\mathcal{L}_K g_{ab} = 0 \quad \& \quad ?? \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = 0$$

## Basic trick (for minimally coupled fields)

## Basic trick (for minimally coupled fields)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_K E_{ab} = 0 & \xrightarrow{E_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab}} & \mathcal{L}_K T_{ab} = 0 \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}_K g_{ab} = 0 & & \mathcal{L}_K \psi^{a\dots}_{b\dots} = \dots \end{array}$$



# Symmetry inheritance of the EM field in a nutshell

# Symmetry inheritance of the EM field in a nutshell

$$(1+1) \quad \mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{BGS '17}$$

$$(1+2) \quad \mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{CDPS '16}$$

$$(1+3) \quad \mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = \alpha * F_{ab} \quad \text{MW '75 / WY '76}$$

$$\geq 5 \quad \mathcal{L}_K (F_{ac} F_b{}^c) = 0 \quad \text{BGS '17}$$

[PRD 95 (2017)] I. Barjašić, L. Gulin, I. S.

[CQG 33 (2016)] M. Cvitan, P. Dominis Prester, I. S.

## Symmetry inheritance of NLE fields [PRD 95 (2017)]

- Symmetry inheritance at points with  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \neq 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}F_{ab} = \alpha *F_{ab} + \beta F_{ab}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{K}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

- Symmetry inheritance at points with  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \neq 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = \alpha * F_{ab} + \beta F_{ab}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{L}_K \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

- For  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  and a non-null field we have

$$\mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = 0$$

on the set  $\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \neq 0\} \cap \{\mathcal{F} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}} \neq 0\}$ .

- Symmetry inheritance at points with  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \neq 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = \alpha * F_{ab} + \beta F_{ab}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{L}_K \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

- For  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  and a non-null field we have

$$\mathcal{L}_K F_{ab} = 0$$

on the set  $\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \neq 0\} \cap \{\mathcal{F} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}} \neq 0\}$ .

- stealth examples  $\rightarrow$  [I.S.: PRD 97 (2018)]

## Zeroth law of BH electrodynamics

## Zeroth law of BH electrodynamics

- via Einstein field equation [Carter 1973]

$$R(K, K) \stackrel{H}{=} 0$$

$$E^a E_a + B^a B_a = 8\pi T(K, K)$$

$$E^a E_a - B^a B_a = K^a K_a \mathcal{F}$$

[NLE  $\rightarrow$  Rasheed hep-th/9702087]



## Zeroth law of BH electrodynamics

- via Einstein field equation [Carter 1973]

$$R(K, K) \stackrel{H}{=} 0$$

$$E^a E_a + B^a B_a = 8\pi T(K, K)$$

$$E^a E_a - B^a B_a = K^a K_a \mathcal{F}$$

[NLE  $\rightarrow$  Rasheed hep-th/9702087]

- via bifurcation surface [Gao: PRD **68** (2003)]

## Zeroth law of BH electrodynamics

## Zeroth law of BH electrodynamics

- via Frobenius condition (staticity or circularity)  
[I.S. 2012, 2014; I. Barjašić, L. Gulin and I.S. 2017]

$$[k, m] = 0, \quad k \wedge m \wedge dk = k \wedge m \wedge dm = 0$$

$$k = \partial_t, \quad m = \partial_\varphi$$

$$i_k \mathcal{L}_m - i_m \mathcal{L}_k = i_k i_m d - d i_k i_m + i_{[k, m]} \quad / \quad F, *Z$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad k \wedge m \wedge F = 0 = k \wedge m \wedge *Z$$

## Zeroth law of BH electrodynamics

- via Frobenius condition (staticity or circularity)  
[I.S. 2012, 2014; I. Barjašić, L. Gulin and I.S. 2017]

$$[k, m] = 0, \quad k \wedge m \wedge dk = k \wedge m \wedge dm = 0$$

$$k = \partial_t, \quad m = \partial_\varphi$$

$$i_k \mathcal{L}_m - i_m \mathcal{L}_k = i_k i_m d - di_k i_m + i_{[k, m]} \quad / F, *Z$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad k \wedge m \wedge F = 0 = k \wedge m \wedge *Z$$

- on  $H[\chi]$  generated by  $\chi^a = k^a + \Omega_H m^a$

$$(m^a m_a) \chi \wedge d\Phi \stackrel{H}{=} 0, \quad (m^a m_a) \chi \wedge d\Psi \stackrel{H}{=} 0$$

## Smarr formula

---

## Euler-Gibbs-Duhem relation

## Euler-Gibbs-Duhem relation

A constraint between the energy  $E$ , the temperature  $T$ , the entropy  $S$  and the rest of the pairs  $\{(x_i, X^i)\}$  of the conjugate intensive/extensive thermodynamic quantities

$$E = TS + x_i X^i$$

## Euler-Gibbs-Duhem relation

*Eulerian proof:*



## Euler-Gibbs-Duhem relation

*Eulerian proof:*

- assume that the energy  $E = E(S; \{X^i\})$  is a smooth homogeneous function of *degree 1*

## Euler-Gibbs-Duhem relation

*Eulerian proof:*

- assume that the energy  $E = E(S; \{X^i\})$  is a smooth homogeneous function of *degree 1*
- use the Euler's homogeneous function theorem:  
 $kf(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{X})$  holds for any smooth homogeneous function  $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $k$

$$\Rightarrow E = \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} S + \frac{\partial E}{\partial X^i} X^i$$

## Euler-Gibbs-Duhem relation

*Eulerian proof:*

- assume that the energy  $E = E(S; \{X^i\})$  is a smooth homogeneous function of *degree 1*
- use the Euler's homogeneous function theorem:  
 $kf(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{X})$  holds for any smooth homogeneous function  $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $k$

$$\Rightarrow E = \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} S + \frac{\partial E}{\partial X^i} X^i$$

- partial derivatives can be extracted from the first law of thermodynamics

## Smarr formula (1973)

- Mass of the Kerr-Newman black hole

$$M(\mathcal{A}_H, J, Q^2) = \left( \frac{\mathcal{A}_H}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_H} + \frac{Q^2}{2} + \frac{\pi Q^4}{\mathcal{A}_H} \right)^{1/2}$$

- Mass of the Kerr-Newman black hole

$$M(\mathcal{A}_H, J, Q^2) = \left( \frac{\mathcal{A}_H}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_H} + \frac{Q^2}{2} + \frac{\pi Q^4}{\mathcal{A}_H} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$M = 2T_H S + 2\Omega_H J + \Phi_H Q, \quad S = \frac{\mathcal{A}_H}{4}$$

- $H[\chi]$  generated by  $\chi^a = k^a + \Omega_H m^a$

## Generalized NLE Smarr

- $H[\chi]$  generated by  $\chi^a = k^a + \Omega_H m^a$
- Komar mass and angular momentum

$$M_S = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_S *dk, \quad J_S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_S *dm$$



## Generalized NLE Smarr

- $H[\chi]$  generated by  $\chi^a = k^a + \Omega_H m^a$
- Komar mass and angular momentum

$$M_S = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_S *dk, \quad J_S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_S *dm$$

- Bardeen-Carter-Hawking mass formula  
(for 4D Einstein field equation)

$$M = \frac{\kappa A_H}{4\pi} + 2\Omega_H J - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \left( *T(\chi) - \frac{1}{2} T * \chi \right)$$

- key idea: using  $E = -i_\chi F$  and  $H = i_\chi *Z$ ,

$$*(E \wedge *Z + H \wedge F) = 32\pi \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} T^{(\text{Max})}(\chi)$$

$$E \wedge *Z + H \wedge F = -d(\Phi *Z + \Psi F)$$

- key idea: using  $E = -i_\chi F$  and  $H = i_\chi *Z$ ,

$$*(E \wedge *Z + H \wedge F) = 32\pi \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} T^{(\text{Max})}(\chi)$$

$$E \wedge *Z + H \wedge F = -d(\Phi *Z + \Psi F)$$

- generalized Komar charges

$$Q_S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S *Z, \quad P_S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S F$$



$$M = \frac{\kappa \mathcal{A}_H}{4\pi} + 2\Omega_H J + \Phi_H Q_H + \Psi_H P_H + \Delta$$

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} T * \chi$$

# Linear NLE Smarr?

## Linear NLE Smarr?

- For which  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  the Smarr formula is still linear?

- For which  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  the Smarr formula is still linear?

$$2 d(\Phi * Z) = *R(\chi) + 2(\mathcal{L} - 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} - 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{G}) * \chi$$

$$2 d(\Psi F) = *R(\chi) + 2\mathcal{L} * \chi$$



- For which  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  the Smarr formula is still linear?

$$2 d(\Phi * Z) = *R(\chi) + 2(\mathcal{L} - 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} - 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{G}) * \chi$$

$$2 d(\Psi F) = *R(\chi) + 2\mathcal{L} * \chi$$

- at pts w/  $\mathcal{F} \neq 0$  we would need  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}^a \alpha(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F})$

- For which  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  the Smarr formula is still linear?

$$2 d(\Phi * Z) = *R(\chi) + 2(\mathcal{L} - 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} - 2\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{G}) * \chi$$

$$2 d(\Psi F) = *R(\chi) + 2\mathcal{L} * \chi$$

- at pts w/  $\mathcal{F} \neq 0$  we would need  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}^a \alpha(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F})$
- at pts w/  $\mathcal{G} \neq 0$  we would need  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G}^a \alpha(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{G})$



- power-Maxwell,  $\mathcal{L} = C\mathcal{F}^s$  :

$$M = \frac{\kappa A_H}{4\pi} + 2\Omega_H J + \frac{1}{s} \Phi_H Q_H + \left(2 - \frac{1}{s}\right) \Psi_H P_H$$

- power-Maxwell,  $\mathcal{L} = C\mathcal{F}^s$  :

$$M = \frac{\kappa A_H}{4\pi} + 2\Omega_H J + \frac{1}{s} \Phi_H Q_H + \left(2 - \frac{1}{s}\right) \Psi_H P_H$$

- Euler-Heisenberg for a spherically symm. black hole:

$$\Delta_{\text{EH}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\alpha^2}{360m_e^4} \int_{\Sigma} (4\mathcal{F}^2 + 7\mathcal{G}^2) * \chi$$

- power-Maxwell,  $\mathcal{L} = C\mathcal{F}^s$  :

$$M = \frac{\kappa A_H}{4\pi} + 2\Omega_H J + \frac{1}{s} \Phi_H Q_H + \left(2 - \frac{1}{s}\right) \Psi_H P_H$$

- Euler-Heisenberg for a spherically symm. black hole:

$$\Delta_{\text{EH}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\alpha^2}{360m_e^4} \int_{\Sigma} (4\mathcal{F}^2 + 7\mathcal{G}^2) * \chi$$

...for a spherically symmetric black hole:

$$\Delta_{\text{EH}} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{360m_e^4} \frac{32Q^4}{5r_+^5} + O(\alpha^3)$$

Correction as a product of a conjugate pair?

## Correction as a product of a conjugate pair?

- if  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \beta^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\beta \mathcal{F}, \beta \mathcal{G})$  then

$$\pi T = \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G} = -\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta}$$



## Correction as a product of a conjugate pair?

- if  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \beta^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\beta \mathcal{F}, \beta \mathcal{G})$  then

$$\pi T = \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G} = -\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta}$$

...in this case we can write

$$\Delta = \mathfrak{C} \beta, \quad \mathfrak{C} \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} * \chi$$

## Correction as a product of a conjugate pair?

- if  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \beta^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\beta \mathcal{F}, \beta \mathcal{G})$  then

$$\pi T = \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G} = -\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta}$$

...in this case we can write

$$\Delta = \mathfrak{C} \beta, \quad \mathfrak{C} \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} * \chi$$

- ambiguity:

$$\beta = b^{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta = \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\lambda} b$$

# First law of BH thermodynamics

---

# Main approaches

## Main approaches

- “equilibrium state version”  
[Bardeen et al. 1973, Wald et al. 1993– ]

## Main approaches

- “equilibrium state version”  
[Bardeen et al. 1973, Wald et al. 1993– ]
- “physical state version” [Wald and Gao 2001]

# Covariant phase space approach

## Covariant phase space approach

- for diff-invariant gravitational Lagrangians

$$\delta L[\phi] = E[\phi] \delta\phi + d\Theta[\phi, \delta\phi] , \quad J_\xi = \Theta - i_\xi L \approx dQ_\xi$$

$$\delta H = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \delta Q_\xi - i_\xi \Theta$$



## Covariant phase space approach

- for diff-invariant gravitational Lagrangians

$$\delta L[\phi] = E[\phi] \delta\phi + d\Theta[\phi, \delta\phi] , \quad J_\xi = \Theta - i_\xi L \approx dQ_\xi$$

$$\delta H = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \delta Q_\xi - i_\xi \Theta$$

- Wald's entropy formula

$$S = -2\pi \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{abcd}} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{cd} \hat{\epsilon}$$

## Covariant phase space approach

- for diff-invariant gravitational Lagrangians

$$\delta L[\phi] = E[\phi] \delta\phi + d\Theta[\phi, \delta\phi] , \quad J_\xi = \Theta - i_\xi L \approx dQ_\xi$$

$$\delta H = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \delta Q_\xi - i_\xi \Theta$$

- Wald's entropy formula

$$S = -2\pi \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{abcd}} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{cd} \hat{\epsilon}$$

- generalization for gravitational Chern-Simons terms  
[Tachikawa 2007; Bonora et al. 2010–2013; Azeyanagi, Loganayagam, Ng 2013–2017]

## First law with NLE

- Rasheed [hep-th/9702087]

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \delta \mathcal{A} + \Omega_{\text{H}} \delta J + \Phi_{\text{H}} \delta Q + \Psi_{\text{H}} \delta P$$

- Rasheed [hep-th/9702087]

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \delta \mathcal{A} + \Omega_H \delta J + \Phi_H \delta Q + \Psi_H \delta P$$

- Zhang and Gao [1610.01237] for  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \{\beta_i\})$

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} \delta \mathcal{A} + \Omega_H \delta J + \Phi_H \delta Q + \Psi_H \delta P + \sum_i K_i \delta \beta_i$$

$$K_i = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta_i} * \chi$$

## Open questions

---

## Open questions

## Open questions

- generalize 1st law with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \{\beta_i\})$



## Open questions

- generalize 1st law with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \{\beta_i\})$
- generalize NLE Smarr with  $\Lambda$ ,  $D = 3$ ,  $D \geq 5$ , etc.

## Open questions

- generalize 1st law with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \{\beta_i\})$
- generalize NLE Smarr with  $\Lambda$ ,  $D = 3$ ,  $D \geq 5$ , etc.
- physical interpretation of NLE conjugate pair(s)

## Open questions

- generalize 1st law with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \{\beta_i\})$
- generalize NLE Smarr with  $\Lambda$ ,  $D = 3$ ,  $D \geq 5$ , etc.
- physical interpretation of NLE conjugate pair(s)
- BH thermodynamics in the presence of NC EM fields?

## Open questions

- generalize 1st law with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \{\beta_i\})$
- generalize NLE Smarr with  $\Lambda$ ,  $D = 3$ ,  $D \geq 5$ , etc.
- physical interpretation of NLE conjugate pair(s)
- BH thermodynamics in the presence of NC EM fields?
- symmetry inheritance of  $D \geq 5$  EM fields

Thank you for the attention!

This research has been supported by the  
Croatian Science Foundation under the project No. 8946.